IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA CHARLESTON DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)) July 16, 2021
-versus-) Charleston, SC
DAVID CURTIS WHITE,) 2:21-424-1
Defendant.)

TRANSCRIPT OF DETENTION HEARING

BEFORE THE HONORABLE MOLLY H. CHERRY UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE, presiding

APPEARANCES:

For the Government: DEAN H. SECOR, AUSA

US Attorney's Office

151 Meeting Street, Suite 200

Charleston, SC 29401

For the Defendant: ALICIA V. PENN, ESQ.

Federal Public Defender 145 King Street, Suite 325

Charleston, SC 29401

Court Reporter: KAREN E. MARTIN, RMR, CRR

PO Box 835

Charleston, SC 29402

Proceedings reported by stenographic court reporter. Transcript produced with computer-aided transcription software.

1 Friday, July 16, 2021 2 (WHEREUPON, court was called to order at 2:13 PM.) 3 THE COURT: I think we are now ready to proceed. Ms. Penn, is the defense ready? 4 5 MS. PENN: We are, Your Honor. THE COURT: Mr. Secor, is the Government ready? 6 7 MR. SECOR: Yes, Your Honor, we are. 8 THE COURT: You may call the case. 9 MR. SECOR: Yes, Your Honor. This is case of 10 United States of America vs. David Curtis White, Criminal 11 No. 2:21-CR-424. We're here for purposes of a detention 12 Mr. White is represented by Ms. Alicia Penn of 13 the Federal Public Defender's Office and the Government is 14 prepared to go forward. 15 THE COURT: Okay. You may call your first 16 witness. 17 MR. SECOR: And Your Honor, if I may, just a few 18 housekeeping matters before I call the witness? 19 THE COURT: Certainly. 20 MR. SECOR: I just wanted to put on the record 21 we believe we have grounds to even ask for detention based 22 under 18 USC Section 3142(f)(1)(A) and (B). And what that 23 is under (f)(1)(A) is that the alleged offenses in the 24 indictment are crimes of violence and that's pursuant to 25 18 USC 3156(e)(4)(C). In addition, under (f)(1)(B), Count

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

4 2 is an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment. For those two grounds, we believe we can go forward with a detention hearing. In addition, Your Honor, the Government believes that it enjoys the rebuttable presumption under Title 18 USC Section 3142(e)(3). And the basis for that is the Counts 1 through 4 involve a minor victim under Sections 2251, 2252(a)(2) and 2422. And Your Honor, the Government is prepared to call its witness. THE COURT: Okay. Let's take care of the rebuttable presumption first. Ms. Penn, is there any disagreement in that regard? MS. PENN: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. You may call your first witness. MR. SECOR: Thank you, Your Honor. The Government calls Agent Matthew Pinto. MATTHEW PINTO, after being duly sworn, testified as follows: THE WITNESS: I do. MR. SECOR: And Your Honor, may the Government ask the agent to give summary testimony with leave for the

> Karen E. Martin, RMR, CRR US District Court District of South Carolina

Government to ask follow-up or interjecting questions?

Yes.

THE COURT:

5 1 Any objection, Ms. Penn? 2 MS. PENN: No objection, Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: Thank you. 4 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. SECOR 5 Sir, could you state your full name for the record, 6 7 please? 8 Α Matthew William Pinto. 9 And where do you work? 10 At FBI Charleston. Α 11 And what are your general duties? 12 I'm an agent with the Violent Crime Task Force. 13 Q And in that capacity, have you had the occasion to be 14 involved in the case of United States of America vs. David 15 Curtis White? 16 I do. 17 And are you the special agent on the case? 18 I am. 19 And are you the lead agent on the case? Q 20 I am. Α 21 And are you familiar with the facts? 22 Α Yes. 23 And are you prepared to go forward? 24 Yes. Α

Karen E. Martin, RMR, CRR
US District Court
District of South Carolina

If you could, sir, please inform the Court of the

25

Q

facts, please.

A Yes, sir. Our victim is a prepubescent minor victim, and I'll just refer to her as victim as I go on. On September 15th, 2020, the victim's mother came to the FBI and said that her daughter, our victim, had sent sexually explicit images and videos via Snapchat to a Snapchat moniker mark_fillips.

The next day the FBI gave consent to the preview of the victim's phone and discovered a Snapchat account and discovered that sexually explicit photos -- discovered the sexually explicit photos in her deleted items of her phone. That same day, the FBI downloaded via consent her entire Snapchat profile. And they discovered communications with accounts mark_fillips beginning on 8/19/2020. Her age was listed between 13 to 17. She's a female. And then they discovered there was conversations, chats, audio, and video with mark_fillips.

On 10/6/2020, Snapchat provided records responsive to administrative subpoena for mark_fillips at Snapchat account. They discovered a telephone number and the provider was Verizon. And then the most common IPs belonging to Comcast and Verizon.

On that same day, Comcast provided records responsive to an administrative subpoena in regards to those IP addresses. And they came back to a Mr. Jack White with an

1 address of 4725 June Street, North Charleston. 2 THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry, 4725? 3 THE WITNESS: 4725 June Street. And that's in 4 North Charleston. 5 On October 12th, 2020, Verizon Wireless provided 6 records responsive to an administrative subpoena in 7 regards to the telephone number previously referenced via 8 the Snapchat subpoena. And that came back to a particular 9 iPhone with a particular serial number. And I'll speak to 10 that shortly. 11 On October 15th, 2020, FBI conducted a forensics 12 interview with our victim, the prepubescent minor. They 13 discovered that -- the victim advised that via Omegl, 14 which is an online chat forum -- O-M-E-G-L -- she gave her 15 Snapchat ID to several boys. Thereafter, a few boys 16 contacted her via Snapchat to include mark_fillips. And 17 she told the boys she was 11 to 12 years old. 18 She took pictures and videos of her naked body 19 to include her chest and vagina and sent them to some boys 20 via Snapchat per their direction and that was to include 21 mark_fillips. She made new images and videos each time 22 she was asked to send them. 23 On January 26th, 2021, Snapchat provided records 24 responsive to a search warrant in regards to our victim's 25 Snapchat accounts and Snapchat account mark fillips.

regards to the victim's Snapchat account, FBI discovered communications with mark_fillips, including an image sent by mark_fillips to the victim on 8/19/2020 and that was an image of a list of questions. And those list of questions were used to elicit conversations with various minors and drive them into conversations that become sexual in nature.

They start with questions such as what is your favorite color? What is your favorite song? What's your favorite movie? And then it moves on to such things as what's your bra size? What color underwear are you wearing? What is your favorite sexual position?

The search warrant results in regards to mark_fillips revealed the following. The user reported to be 14 to 15 years old from California. The same image with the number of a list of questions was discovered. And it was discovered that it was sent to dozens of young girls. It started the conversations and led those conversations to become sexually explicit in nature and images were sent back and forth at that point.

The user sent pictures and videos of himself masturbating to multiple young girls. The conversations were entirely focused on either sexual discussions or they discussed trading child pornographic images and videos. A few of the reporters self-reported as minors and being as

1 young as 13.

exchange of child porn included the following. Snapchat user boi2.0 requested child porn images of sex with young -- of youngs. And then they began to exchange prepubescents of sexually explicit images and videos.

Matrix1322, conversations with that Snapchat account, mark_fillips said, Send the youngest you've got. Matrix1322 said, Like ten? Mark_fillips says, Yeah, if you've got it. Matrix1322 then said, You like young girls? And mark_fillips said, Yeah, low key, I like young. Mark_fillips eventually sent a video file depicting a naked prepubescent female engaged in sexual contact with an adult male. That was on October 29th, 2020.

- **Q** Was that in reference to Count 3?
- **A** Yes.
- **Q** Okay. Go ahead.
 - A Mark_fillips then told the other user he has an Apple iPhone 11. And he discussed using live video calls and Omegl to contact young girls.

On October 31st, 2020, mark_fillips then sent to matrix1322 a -- sent him video files including one depicting a prepubescent female being raped by an apparent adult male. He told the user, I just throw them in the

```
1
     hidden folder of my camera.
 2
     Q
          Is that in regards to Count 4?
 3
          Yes, it is.
 4
          Okay.
 5
          In conversations with Facebook account -- excuse me,
 6
     Snapchat account alfie_foryou, alfie_foryou sent
 7
     mark_fillips a link to a folder containing 109 files.
 8
     These were primarily videos of the rape of prepubescent
 9
               Mark_fillips responded by sending child porn
10
     images and videos in response.
11
          Conversations with notlok01, mark fillips sent the
12
     video file previously exchanged with matrix1322 that was
13
     discussed. And mark_fillips said, Lowest is probably 15.
14
          Akading5 said, How old are these girls? Mark_fillips
15
     replied, About 15. Akading5 responded, Bro, one's 12, the
16
     other two are probably ten.
17
          On June 7th, 2021, the FBI executed a search warrant
18
     at the previously mentioned address of 4725 June Street,
19
     North Charleston. Occupants of that address at that time
20
     were Jack and Sharon White and their son, David White.
21
          We seized several electronic devices to include an
22
     iPhone found on David White. We interviewed all.
23
     during our interview with David White, which started as a
24
     voluntary, non-custodial interview and eventually became a
25
     Mirandized interview once he discussed the following.
```

David White said that after his 20th birthday, he began to entice girls online through Omegl and Snapchat. He said his Snapchat moniker was mark_fillips and he used this to solicit girls as young as eight to send nude images and videos. He directed their actions to include digital and vaginal penetration. He sent pictures and videos of his penis in exchange.

He claimed he did not specifically remember soliciting from the victim. But he acknowledged that he used that same image with questions on it that I discussed earlier.

He voluntarily showed the FBI his phone. It was an exact match to the serial number that we received on the -- or that we discovered via the Verizon subpoena on October 12th. It was also the exact telephone number that we received via -- that we discovered via the Snapchat subpoena on October 6th, 2020.

On June 23rd, 2021, the FBI completed a forensics download of David White's iPhone, the phone I previously mentioned. We discovered over 350 child porn images and there were 125 child porn videos. All of these were depicting prepubescent females posing in various sexual positions while exposing the breasts, buttocks, and vagina and were engaged in various sexual acts by themselves with other prepubescent children or adults. And we also

1 discovered that image with the number of the list of 2 questions. 3 Okay. And then Counts 1 and 2 cover production of 4 child pornography, date range of August 2020 and 5 October 2020. And then Count 2, enticement, and that involves the same dates of August 2020 and October 2020. 6 7 So did your investigation reveal that he had, first of 8 all, caused minors to produce child pornography as well as 9 enticing them online? 10 Yes. Α 11 Okay. Q 12 MR. SECOR: The Government has no further 13 questions. 14 THE COURT: Ms. Penn, any cross? 15 CROSS-EXAMINATION 16 BY MS. PENN 17 Agent Pinto, in your review of these electronic 18 devices, is there any text message or communication that 19 indicates Mr. White planned to visit any of these minors 20 or any of these girls? 21 No, ma'am. Α 22 And is there any indication, any of these images were 23 filmed or photographed by Mr. White? 24 No, ma'am. Α 25 So all of the pornography is -- or at least the Q

- 1 pornography that is being exchanged on Snap -- Snapchat or
- 2 | Omegl are pictures the girls are taking of themselves; is
- 3 | that correct?
- 4 A Yes, ma'am.
- 5 **Q** And were you -- did you personally execute the search
- 6 | warrant on his house?
- 7 A Yes, ma'am.
- 8 Q And this is the beginning of June, right? Is that
- 9 | right?
- 10 A Yes, ma'am.
- 11 **Q** And during that execution of the search warrant, was
- 12 Mr. White and his family, were they cooperative?
- 13 A Yes, they were.
- 14 **Q** Was he evasive or anything less than forthcoming to
- 15 | your questions?
- 16 **A** No, ma'am.
- 17 **Q** Okay. And you said this -- the conversation you had
- 18 with him started as a voluntary interview, right?
- 19 A That's correct.
- 20 **Q** And how long did it stay a voluntary interview?
- 21 A Maybe 15 minutes.
- 22 **Q** And what changed it from a voluntary interview to a
- 23 | Mirandized confession?
- 24 A It changed when he told us that his Snapchat account
- 25 was that account in question.

1	Q	Oh, I see. So he said at some point that his
2	Snapo	chat account was the mark_fillips Snapchat, is that
3	what	it is?
4	Α	Yes.
5	Q	Okay. And did he say that in response to a question
6	from	you or from anyone else?
7	Α	Yes.
8	Q	Did someone just ask him, Is this your Snapchat?
9	Α	Yes.
10	Q	Okay. And then you Mirandized him, correct?
11	Α	That's correct.
12	Q	And how long did he speak or answer questions after
13	that	?
14	Α	Maybe for about 20 minutes beyond that.
15	Q	And was this conversation recorded?
16	Α	Yes, it was.
17	Q	Okay. Video? Audio?
18	Α	Audio.
19		MS. PENN: Court's indulgence?
20		THE COURT: Certainly.
21		MS. PENN: No further questions. Thank you.
22		THE COURT: Okay.
23		Any, Mr. Secor?
24		MR. SECOR: No further questions from the
25	Government.	

1	THE COURT: Okay. The Court has a question just
2	for point of clarification.
3	Agent Pinto, you were asked whether Mr. White
4	requested images from any of the minors. And I just want
5	to understand. The minor victim identified by initials in
6	the indictments, and maybe I misunderstood, I thought you
7	testified that he did solicit images from specifically
8	from that individual.
9	THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor, he did solicit
10	the images from our victim and other individuals,
11	prepubescent females online. The question I think the
12	defense asked was did he take photos on his own.
13	THE COURT: On his own, okay.
14	THE WITNESS: He did not take photos of the
15	girls. The girls sent him photos. He took pictures of
16	himself and sent them.
17	THE COURT: Thank you for that clarification,
18	Agent Pinto.
19	Ms. Penn, any follow up in light of the Court's
20	questions?
21	MS. PENN: Just briefly.
22	The victim listed in the complaint, she lives in
23	Minneapolis, Minnesota; is that correct.
24	THE WITNESS: That is correct.
25	MS. PENN: I have no follow up, Your Honor.

1	THE COURT: Okay.	
2	Any follow up, Mr. Secor?	
3	MR. SECOR: None from the Government.	
4	THE COURT: Okay. The witness may step down.	
5	THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.	
6	MR. SECOR: And the Government has no further	
7	witnesses, Your Honor.	
8	THE COURT: Does or does not?	
9	MR. SECOR: Does not.	
10	THE COURT: Okay. Any other evidence,	
11	Mr. Secor?	
12	MR. SECOR: No, Your Honor.	
13	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.	
14	Ms. Penn?	
15	MS. PENN: No witnesses from the defense, Your	
16	Honor.	
17	THE COURT: Okay. Is counsel ready for the	
18	Court to hear argument?	
19	MR. SECOR: Yes, Your Honor.	
20	MS. PENN: Yes, ma'am.	
21	THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Secor, I'll hear from	
22	you.	
23	MR. SECOR: Yes, Your Honor. The Government, in	
24	addition to enjoying the rebuttable presumption, thinks	
25	that the factors which the Court must consider call for	

detention of this defendant, and that's specifically under 18 USC Subsection 3142(g)(1), first of all, the nature and circumstances of the offense charged. The offenses for which he's charged are, next to actual hands-on sexual molestation is the worst offense against the child in the sexual realm that can occur; and that is, enticing them to actually produce child pornography images. So we think that the nature and circumstances of the offense charged speaks for itself in that regard.

These offenses, I would point out, are crimes of violence under Title 18. And they also involve a minor victim. And there's also testimony that there were many other -- I believe the testimony was dozens of other victims that the defendant solicited online, minor victims.

The subsection (g)(2), the weight of the evidence against the person, we believe is strong. The defendant gave a almost full confession. He only hemmed a little bit on the issue of remembering exactly this particular victim. But the evidence shows that her images were contained in what was found by the Government in its examination of search warrant returns and forensics reviews.

Also, the history and characteristics of the person under Subsection (3)(a), we acknowledge that there

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

was no criminal history indicated on the pretrial services I'll proffer to the Court that I've been informed by the FBI that they've reached out to Hanahan Police Department and have determined that the defendant was charged on July 13 with DUI. It's my understanding it involved a wrecked vehicle as well. It's so recent that it's not in NCIC. And from what I gather they have not even finished preparing the paper reports for their file in that regard. But it's my understanding, and they can dispute it if they like, but that would go to a history relating to drug or alcohol abuse which would also comport with the information in the Pretrial Services Report concerning drug and alcohol usage. And I believe, if I'm not wrong, the report refers to marijuana and cocaine use. Finally, under -- well, in addition under (g)(B), I believe that the DUI charge would indicate -and that's (g)(1)(B) -- excuse me, (g)(3)(B), that the person was on release from another offense whenever he was indicted in this case. Finally, under Subsection (g)(4), we believe that the nature and seriousness of the danger to any

Finally, under Subsection (g)(4), we believe that the nature and seriousness of the danger to any person or the community that would be posed by the person's release should be considered. We think it's obvious that he's a danger to minor victims online. And that could be done easily, as we all know, from anywhere,

doesn't have to be his house. It could be anywhere that he might be if he has access to computers.

And also we would point out that the DUI is an indication that he's a danger to himself. And that translates or refers back to his reported history of using drugs.

Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Secor.

Ms. Penn, I'll hear from you.

MS. PENN: Thank you, Your Honor. First, to rebut the presumption of detention in this case, I'd like to tell the Court that Mr. White is 21 years old. He lives with his parents. He always has. He has no passport. He has a job. He was working at Papa Johns as a pizza maker and a cashier. And I think that's enough to rebut the presumption in this case just to show that he has ties to the community and he is not a flight risk and that he has a stable place to go.

To go towards the question of why he should be released, the allegations in this case are, obviously, extremely serious. And we take them very seriously. And I know the Government does as well. But just the fact the charges are serious is not enough of a reason to immediately detain Mr. White. If that were the case, there could just be a blanket section of the code that

says if you are charged with this, then you are detained.

And that is not the case.

So things that would put this Court -- that might put this Court a little more at ease in releasing Mr. White are that if they were to be released, he would go back and live with his parents. He has been living there for his entire life.

In addition to that, he has known about these charges now for about a month. Because when his home was searched and he was questioned, he was not arrested at that time. He was not immediately taken into custody. Instead, he was left at home where he had to think about what was coming.

And during that time he had no devices. All of his devices were seized. So if the Government is concerned about I think they said the danger to minor victims online, he no longer has online access. So that is eased somewhat by the Government seizing all of his things.

His parents are both here. They are present in the courtroom. His mother has prepared a statement that I'll ask her to read at the appropriate time. They are both lifelong members of the community. They are teachers. His father has just retired after 41-some years of teaching school to children. And his mother is still

working as a teacher. So they have both assured me that because his father is retired, that he can be at home with his son, if need be, to monitor him and make sure that he doesn't do anything he's not supposed to do.

They have also gone so far -- I explained to them the concept of third-party custody. They are willing to be third-party custodians if that is something the Court thinks is necessary.

Mr. White -- so I'll tell the Court, the other people in the courtroom are his brothers, his pastor, the junior pastor of his church, his high school teacher.

They do not have anything formal prepared. They're here just to show their support of him.

And they have, obviously, heard at this point the terrible allegations. And they are still willing to support him and believe that he can be trusted at least until his case is finished. They understand that these are serious charges. They carry a lot of time. There is a potential for Mr. White to go to prison. But before that happens, they ask that he be able to stay in the community with them.

Your Honor, I'm going to ask Mr. White's mother to speak at this time. She's prepared a brief statement.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. PENN: You can come on up and stand right

there and tell the judge your name.

THE DEFENDANT'S MOTHER: Sharon White. As Ms. Penn has already indicated, my husband and I have flexible hours at this point where we would be able to monitor David. He would be watched carefully 24/7.

We are in the process of getting him into a residential rehab facility where he would get counseling and get help for the issues that have brought him to this point. There is a waiting list for that facility. How it works is he calls in every day to say I'm still waiting. I want to come. And as soon as there's a spot, they bring him in.

Also, you have already heard that we have a group of people -- sorry -- who despite the seriousness of these charges that they have heard today are willing to step in and help David in whatever capacity is necessary, whatever that means, supervision, monitoring, mentoring, guidance, counseling. Any of those things that they can do to help David, they are willing to do. And that's because they believe that David is worth helping. The David that you heard about is not the David that we know.

MS. PENN: We have nothing further, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Penn.

Mr. Secor, anything further from the Government?

MR. SECOR: Nothing further, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. The Court will be in recess for about five minutes.

(WHEREUPON, a short break was taken.)

THE COURT: Please be seated. I'm sorry, I said that when I walked in. My apologies.

So the Government has moved to detain and there's no dispute that the rebuttable presumption applies. I do find that the defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption. But even after considering the presumption and the other factors in this matter, detention is warranted. I find that the Government has proven by clear and convincing evidence that there are no condition or combination of conditions of release that will reasonably assure the safety of any other person in the community.

This decision is made for -- based upon the evidence that we have heard this afternoon. The weight of the evidence against the defendant is strong. He is subject to a lengthy period of incarceration if convicted. And as noted by the Government, the crimes set forth are considered crimes of violence against minors. And of particular note to the Court is the age of the minors that came out in the evidence before the Court this afternoon.

I do want to thank the family and friends who are here. I know this is difficult for everyone. And

```
1
     Mr. White is going to need your continued love and support
 2
     in this matter. I appreciate your being here. That's not
     lost on the Court. And I do know it's hard for all of
 3
 4
     you. And I thank you for your time this afternoon and
 5
     encourage you to continue with your support with
     Mr. White. He's going to need it. And he's lucky to have
 6
 7
     that support from you.
 8
               Anything further from the Government, Mr. Secor?
 9
               MR. SECOR:
                           No, Your Honor.
10
               THE COURT: And Ms. Penn, anything further?
11
               MS. PENN:
                          No, Your Honor.
12
               THE COURT: This matter is adjourned.
                                                       Thank
13
     you.
14
          (WHEREUPON, court was adjourned at 2:46 PM.)
15
16
     I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from
17
     the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.
         s/Karen E. Martin
18
                                              8/10/2021
19
     Karen E. Martin, RMR, CRR
                                         Date
20
21
22
23
24
25
```